

1 RUSSELL P. BROWN (SBN: 84505)
2 JAMES F. KUHNE, JR. (SBN: 251150)
3 GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI LLP
4 101 W. Broadway, Suite 2000
5 San Diego, CA 92101
6 Telephone: (619) 696-6700
7 Facsimile: (619) 696-7124

8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9 TRUTH AQUATICS, INC. AND
10 GLEN RICHARD FRITZLER AND DANA
11 JEANNE FRITZLER, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
12 TRUSTEES OF THE FRITZLER FAMILY TRUST
13 DTD 7/27/92

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16 In the Matter of the Complaint of Truth)
17 Aquatics, Inc. and Glen Richard Fritzler and) CASE NO. 2:19-cv-07693
18 Dana Jeanne Fritzler, individually and as)
19 Trustees of the Fritzler Family Trust DTD) **COMPLAINT FOR**
20 7/27/92 as owners and/or owners pro hac vice) **EXONERATION FROM OR**
21 of the dive vessel CONCEPTION, Official) **LIMITATION OF LIABILITY**
22 Number 638133, for Exoneration from or)
23 Limitation of Liability)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

29 COME NOW Plaintiffs TRUTH AQUATICS, INC. and GLEN RICHARD
30 FRITZLER and DANA JEANNE FRITZLER, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
31 TRUSTEES OF THE FRITZLER FAMILY TRUST DTD 7/27/92, as owners
32 and/or owners *pro hac vice* of the dive vessel CONCEPTION, Official Number
33 638133, (“Plaintiffs”) in this admiralty action, alleging as follows:

34 1. This is an action for exoneration from or limitation of liability as
35 provided by 46 U.S.C. § 30501 *et seq.* and is a case of admiralty and maritime
36 jurisdiction, as hereinafter more fully appears, and is within the meaning of 28
37 U.S.C. § 1333, Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and Rule F,
38 Supplemental Rules for Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules of
39 Civil Procedure.

Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP
101 W. Broadway, Suite 2000
San Diego, CA 92101

Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP
101 W. Broadway, Suite 2000
San Diego, CA 92101

1 2. Plaintiff Truth Aquatics, Inc. is, and at all times relevant to this action
2 was, a business entity doing business within Santa Barbara County, in the State of
3 California, and was the alleged owner or owner *pro hac vice* of the
4 CONCEPTION.

5 3. Plaintiffs Glen Richard Fritzler and Dana Jeanne Fritzler, individually
6 and as Trustees of the Fritzler Family Trust DTD 7/27/92 (“Fritzler”) were, at all
7 times relevant to this action, individuals residing within Santa Barbara County, in
8 the State of California, and are or are alleged to be the legal and equitable owner of
9 the CONCEPTION, Official Number 638133, a 75 foot, wooden hulled, 97 Gross
10 Registered Tons, dive vessel (“CONCEPTION”), which was at all times relevant
11 to this action located within the jurisdictional waters of Santa Barbara or Ventura
12 County in the State of California. As alleged below the wreck and/or wreckage of
13 the CONCEPTION is located in either Ventura and/or Santa Barbara County.

14 4. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that thirty-three
15 passengers (“Passengers”) and six crewmembers (“Crewmembers”) were on board
16 the CONCEPTION at the time of the Fire on September 2, 2019, and at all times
17 relevant to this action, and were injured or died as a result of the below-described
18 Fire on the CONCEPTION and are potential claimants in this action.

19 5. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that no
20 Passengers or Crewmembers have filed suit in for alleged personal injuries,
21 property loss, death, damages and/or losses arising out of the below-described Fire
22 on the CONCEPTION on September 2, 2019.

23 6. Plaintiffs are unaware of the true names and identities of fictitiously-
24 named DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, and therefore sue them under such fictitious
25 names.

26 7. There are no known liens or mortgages on the CONCEPTION, nor is
27 there any pending freight or hire.

28 8. Following the Fire on the CONCEPTION, only the wreck and

1 wreckage of the CONCEPTION was recovered, which was transported via barge
2 to Ventura Country, where it is presently located.

3 9. The wreck and wreckage of the CONCEPTION was determined to
4 have zero residual value and the CONCEPTION is a total loss due to the Fire and
5 has zero value as a result of the Fire.

6 10. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs used reasonable care to make the
7 CONCEPTION seaworthy, and she was, at all relevant times, tight, staunch, and
8 strong, fully and properly manned, equipped and supplied and in all respects
9 seaworthy and fit for the service in which she was engaged.

10 11. On information and belief, on August 31, 2019, at approximately
11 10:00 PM, CONCEPTION's voyage commenced in Santa Barbara, California with
12 33 Passengers and six Crewmembers on board for a three-day dive trip on the
13 navigable waters off the coast of California in the area of the Channel Islands. The
14 CONCEPTION, prior to and at the inception of the voyage, was tight, staunch and
15 seaworthy and fit for the intended trip. The CONCEPTION was not under charter,
16 had no cargo aboard and thus earned no freight or hire for the voyage within the
17 meaning of Rule F of the Supplemental Rules for Admiralty and Maritime Claims
18 and Asset Forfeiture Actions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 46 U.S.C.
19 § 30501 *et seq.*

20 12. On information and belief, at approximately 3:30 AM on the morning
21 of September 2, 2019, while the CONCEPTION was anchored on the navigable
22 waters of the Pacific Ocean off Santa Cruz Island, a fire of unknown cause and
23 origin broke out on board the vessel ("Fire"). The Fire on the CONCEPTION
24 allegedly resulted in the death of all the Passengers and forced the Crewmembers
25 to abandon the vessel.

26 13. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that no
27 Passengers or Crewmembers have filed suit against Plaintiffs.

28 14. On information and belief, one or more of the Passengers and/or

Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP
101 W. Broadway, Suite 2000
San Diego, CA 92101

1 Crewmembers have submitted a written notice that they may assert claims and/or
2 bring a suit for alleged injuries and/or property damages and/or death arising from
3 the aforementioned Fire.

4 15. The aforesaid Fire and all consequent alleged injuries, damages and
5 deaths occurred without the privity or knowledge on the part of Plaintiffs, and was
6 not caused or contributed to by any negligence, fault or knowledge on the part of
7 Plaintiffs, or anyone for whom Plaintiffs may be responsible, at or prior to the
8 commencement of the above-described voyage.

9 16. Plaintiffs desire to invoke the benefits of exoneration from or
10 limitation of liability as provided by 46 U.S.C. § 30501 *et seq.*, and in the same
11 proceeding Plaintiffs desire to contest their liability and the liability of the
12 CONCEPTION for any alleged loss or damages arising out of the aforesaid Fire.

13 17. Since the vessel had no value at the conclusion of the voyage,
14 Plaintiffs are not required to post security in the amount of the owners' interest in
15 the vessel and pending freight as required by Rule F(1) of the Supplemental Rules
16 for Admiralty and Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions of the Federal
17 Rules of Civil Procedure.

18 18. Plaintiffs will provide security for costs in accordance with Local
19 Admiralty Rules, Rule F.1.(83-F.1.) in an amount of \$1,000, if the Court so orders.

20 19. Not more than six months has elapsed between Plaintiffs' receipt of
21 notice of any written claim or suit arising out of the aforementioned Fire and the
22 filing of this action for exoneration from or limitation of liability.

23 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray as follows:

24 1. That the Court enter an order directing the issuance of a monition to
25 all person asserting claims against Plaintiffs and/or the "CONCEPTION" with
26 respect to the Fire and for which this Complaint seeks exoneration from, or
27 limitation of, liability, admonishing them to file their respective claims with the
28 Clerk of this Court, to serve a copy thereof on the attorneys for Plaintiffs, and to

Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP
101 W. Broadway, Suite 2000
San Diego, CA 92101

1 appear and answer the allegations of this Complaint, on or before a date to be fixed
2 by the Court in the notice;

3 2. That the Court enter an order directing the execution of the motion
4 and publication of notice thereof in such newspapers as the Court may direct, once
5 a week for four (4) consecutive weeks prior to the date fixed by the Court for the
6 filing of such claims, all as provided for in the law and Rule F(4) of the Federal
7 Rules of Civil Procedure, Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime
8 Claims;

9 3. That the Court, upon issuance of the motions, enter an order
10 restraining the prosecution of any and all suits against Plaintiffs and/or the
11 “CONCEPTION”, which may have been already commenced by any person or
12 entity to recover damages as a result of the Fire on or about September 2, 2019,
13 and for which this Complaint seeks exoneration from, or limitation of, liability, and
14 restraining the commencement and prosecution of any additional or unknown
15 lawsuits, whether new or old, or any legal proceedings, against Plaintiffs and/or the
16 “CONCEPTION”, with respect to any claims arising from the Fire, and for which
17 this Complaint seeks exoneration from, or limitation of, liability;

18 4. That the Court permit Plaintiffs to contest their liability, if any, for all
19 injuries and/or damages and/or deaths arising out of the Fire of September 2, 2019,
20 and for which this Complaint seeks exoneration from, or limitation of, liability, and
21 that this Court, in this proceeding, adjudge that Plaintiffs and the
22 “CONCEPTION”, are to be completely exonerated from liability arising out of the
23 Fire of September 2, 2019, and for which this Complaint seeks exoneration from,
24 or limitation of, liability, and that no liability exists on the part of Plaintiffs and
25 “CONCEPTION” for any injuries or damages or deaths resulting from the Fire of
26 September 2, 2019, and for which this Complaint seeks exoneration from, or
27 limitation of, liability;

28 5. In the event it is found by this Court that liability exists on the part of

1 Plaintiffs or the “CONCEPTION”, by reason of the injuries and damages and
2 deaths, the Court adjudge that such liability shall in no case exceed the amount of
3 value of Plaintiffs’ interest in the “CONCEPTION”, if any, as the same existed
4 immediately following the Fire, and that a decree be made discharging Plaintiffs
5 from any further liability beyond that amount; and

6 6. That Plaintiffs receive such other and further relief as this Court may
7 deem just and proper under the circumstances.

8 Dated: September 5, 2019

GORDON REES SCULLY
MANSUKHANI LLP

9
10
11 By: /s/ Russell P. Brown
12 Russell P. Brown
13 James F. Kuhne, Jr.
14 Attorney for Plaintiffs
15 TRUTH AQUATICS, INC.,
16 AND GLEN RICHARD
17 FRITZLER AND DANA
18 JEANNE FRITZLER,
19 INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
20 TRUSTEES OF THE FRITZLER
21 FAMILY TRUST DTD 7/27/92
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP
101 W. Broadway, Suite 2000
San Diego, CA 92101